



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,724	09/12/2001	Sondre Skatter	507-000600US	8836
7	590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER	
Ken Buchanan			TANG, KAREN C	
7 Castillo			1000000	2 - 202 > 112 (2022
Irvine, CA 92	2620-1828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/954,724	SKATTER ET A	SKATTER ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karen C. Tang	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the composed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission date of month(s)) which exp	ed), which is after the ired on				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with app					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
(c) The issue lee and publication lee, if applicable, has	not been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). 	•	•				
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record	d, the assignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in	n a representative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interdof the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		nd because the period for see	king court review			
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:						
Attmpted to contact the applicant on 9.30.05, una	ble to reach the applicant.	B. You	A			
		BUNJOB JAROENCHO PRIMARY EXAMI				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20050930